

Wassam, John (ENE)

From: claire chang <claire@solarstoreofgreenfield.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 5, 2021 10:08 AM
To: DOER RPS (ENE)
Subject: RPS Public Comment

CAUTION: This email originated from a sender outside of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts mail system. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

John Wassam,

Dear Commissioner Woodcock,

I am writing to comment on the proposed amendments to Massachusetts' Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS) program pertaining to burning woody biomass.

First, I completely agree that biomass power plants should not be located in or near Environmental Justice communities. Please keep this amendment without change: "A Generation Unit using Eligible Biomass Woody Fuel or Manufactured Biomass Fuel that is either: (i) sited in an environmental justice population or (ii) sited within 5 miles of an environmental justice population, shall not qualify as an RPS Class I Renewable Generation Unit."

Additionally, I support keeping the current language on Class I REC caps. We do not need to arbitrarily set a new limit of \$40 cap. Let the Market dictate the value of Class I RECs with the calculation as in regulation currently. Changing the formula in mid stream is detrimental to business and investments for 100% Renewable energy generation. But you know that.

To have any chance of 50% renewable energy by 2030, MA will need to add 2-4 GW of solar EVERY YEAR. SMART is not smart and will not encourage fast enough development of solar PV. But you know that as well.

It is time for distributed energy resources to be a game changer for the Commonwealth. The costs are lower, the benefits are higher and all ratepayers are part of the solution.

I am however concerned about your plans to only require new biomass power plants to meet a 60% efficiency requirement. Under the current RPS regulations, ALL biomass power plants must meet that requirement in order to qualify for renewable energy credits in Massachusetts. Your proposal to eliminate efficiency requirements for existing biomass plants would allow dozens of highly polluting and inefficient biomass plants in Maine and across the Northeast to qualify for ratepayer subsidies if they claim to burn “non forest derived residues.” Even if they can prove they are truly burning wood residues, rather than trees logged for fuel, these plants pump out large quantities of health-harming air pollution and greenhouse gas emissions that contribute to climate change.

DOER’s plan to use Massachusetts’ ratepayer funds to prop up failing out-of-state biomass power plants will do nothing to mitigate climate change, reduce harmful air pollution, or promote new sources of clean, renewable energy. This proposal is inconsistent with the state’s recent acknowledgement of the health impacts from biomass power plant emissions and is a major step backwards for a state that has been a national leader on climate issues.

I urge you to leave the existing biomass eligibility criteria in the RPS intact, while adding the proposed provision to protect environmental justice communities.

AND LEAVE CLASS I RECs in the current level and cap.

Thank you.

claire chang

claire@solarstoreofgreenfield.com

Gill, Massachusetts 01354